Viktor Köhlich

On the Syntactic Status of the Element – no and the Categorization of no-Modifiers in Japanese

Abstract:

In Japanese, there seem to exist clear morphological boundaries between adjectives and nouns. When modifying a noun, adjectives exhibit either the element -*i* or -*na*, depending on whether they belong to the group of *i*- or *na*-adjectives, while nouns exhibit the element -*no* that can license a variety of different relationships. However, several lexemes exist that appear with the element -*no*, but exhibit characteristics that point in favor of adjectival and nominal status simultaneously. I will refer to these lexemes as '*no*-modifiers' and argue that they form a hybrid group with different lexemes leaning more towards adjectival or nominal status respectively.

In this talk, I put forward three different syntactic accounts for -no - a functional head (Rubin 1994; Belk 2017), a combination of copula and tense marker (Nishiyama 1999) or a genitive case marker (Murasugi 1991) – and show that these analyses ultimately correlate with the word class status of relevant lexemes. In the next step, I will present morphosyntactic criteria for adjectivehood and nounhood in Japanese (cf. Oshima et al. 2019) as well as the empirical methodology to test these. Based on these criteria, a taxonomy of the different subgroups of *no*-modifiers will be delivered.

Belk, Zoë Charlotte Erica (2017): *Attributes of Attribution*. Ph.D. Dissertation, University College London

Murasugi, Keiko (1991): Noun Phrases in Japanese and English: A Study in Syntax, Learnability and Acquisition. Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Connecticut

Nishiyama, Kunio (1999): "Adjectives and the Copula in Japanese", in: *Journal of East Asian Linguistics* 8.3, pp. 183–222

Oshima, David / Akita, Kimi / Sano, Shinichiro (2019): "Gradability, Scale Structure, and the Division of Labor between Nouns and Verbs: The Case of Japanese", in: *Glossa: A Journal of General Linguistics* 4.1:41, pp. 1–36

Rubin, Edward J. (1994): *Modification: A Syntactic Analysis and its Consequences*. Ph.D. Dissertation, Cornell University